ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA419651 07/13/2011

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91199264		
Party	Defendant Overstock.com, Inc.		
Correspondence Address	ALAN J HOWARTH CLAYTON HOWARTH & CANNON PC PO BOX 1909 SANDY, UT 84091 UNITED STATES ahowarth@chcpat.com, wlang@chcpat.com, jallen@chcpat.com, docketclerk@chcpat.com		
Submission	Answer		
Filer's Name	Alan J. Howarth		
Filer's e-mail	ahowarth@chcpat.com, wlang@chcpat.com, jserassio@chcpat.com, docketclerk@chcpat.com		
Signature	/Alan J. Howarth/		
Date	07/13/2011		
Attachments	Answer.pdf (5 pages)(152295 bytes)		

ALAN J. HOWARTH WESLEY M. LANG CLAYTON, HOWARTH & CANNON, P.C.

Attorney Docket No. T12352.1

TRADEMARK

P.O. Box 1909

Sandy, Utah 84091-1909 Telephone: (801) 255-5335 Facsimile: (801) 255-5338

Attorneys for Applicant OVERSTOCK.COM, INC.

Opposed Marks: CLUB O, O GOLD, CLUB O REWARD DOLLARS, CLUB O REWARDS

U.S. Application Nos. 85/074,947; 85/118,114; 85/118,933; 85/119,015

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BIG O TIRES, LLC.)	
v.	Opposer,))	ANSWER
OVERSTOCK.COM, INC.	Applicant.)	Opposition No. 91199264

Commissioner for Trademarks P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1451

Applicant, Overstock.com, Inc. ("Overstock"), through its counsel, hereby answers the Notice of Opposition filed in this action by Big O Tires, LLC ("Opposer").

In response to the preamble introductory paragraph in the Notice of Opposition, Overstock denies that Opposer will be damaged by registration of the mark shown in Applications Nos. 85/074,947; 85/118,114; 85/118,933; and 85/119,015.

In response to the specifically numbered paragraphs set forth in the Notice of Opposition, Overstock hereby responds as follows:

- 1. Overstock lacks sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.
- 2. Overstock lacks sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.
- 3. Overstock lacks sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.
- 4. Overstock lacks sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.
- 5. Overstock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 6. Overstock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 7. Overstock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 8. Overstock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 9. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 10. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition.

- 11. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 12. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition.
- Overstock admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 5-8 of the Notice of Opposition and denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-4 and 9-12 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 14. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 15. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 16. Overstock denies the allegation contained in paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition that Opposer will be damaged by the registrations by Overstock of the marks, as set forth in the Application Serial Nos. 85/074,947; 85/118,114; 85/118,933 and 85/119,015.
- 17. Overstock denies the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition.

In response to the ultimate paragraph in the Notice of Opposition, in which Opposer presents its prayer for relief, Overstock denies that Opposer will be damaged by registration of the marks shown in Application Serial Nos. 85/074,947; 85/118,114; 85/118,933 and 85/119,015.

WHEREFORE, Overstock prays:

- 1. That the Notice of Opposition be denied in its entirety, with prejudice.
- 2. That a registration on the Principal Register issue to Overstock for the mark set forth in Application Serial Nos. 85/074,947; 85/118,114; 85/118,933 and 85/119,015.

DATED this 13 day of July, 2011.

Alan J. Howarth Wesley M. Lang

Attorneys for Applicant

Clayton, Howarth & Cannon, P.C.

alm & Howard

P.O. Box 1909

Sandy, Utah 84091

Telephone: (801) 255-5335 Facsimile: (801) 255-5338

AJH/WML/

S:\CHC Files\T12--\T123-\T12352\Answer.ajh.wpd

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Marsha G. Gentner
Matthew Cuccias
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC
The Jenifer Building
400 Seventh Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20004-2218
Cquasel for Opposer